

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
In the matter of the application of	:	
	:	
THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, in its	:	Index No. 150973/2016
Capacity as Trustee or Indenture Trustee of 530	:	
Countrywide Residential Mortgage-Backed	:	Assigned to: Scarpulla, J.
Securitization Trusts	:	
	:	RESPONSE
	:	OF CERTAIN
Petitioner,	:	INVESTORS
	:	TO
For Judicial Instructions under CPLR Article 77	:	OPENING
On the Distribution of a Settlement Payment.	:	SUBMISSIONS
	:	
-----	X	

TO THE HONORABLE JUSTICE SCARPULLA:

The undersigned certificateholders (the “Undersigned”), who account for five of the eight certificateholder groups that filed papers on March 4, 2016, in response to the Court’s February 5, 2016, Order to Show Cause (Dkt. No. 14),¹ submit the following joint reply pursuant to the Court’s February 29, 2016, Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 25). The Undersigned have reviewed the March 4 filings and agree that, despite some differences in the arguments set forth in those filings (many of which are highly technical), the Undersigned are fundamentally in agreement. It

¹ The eight certificateholder groups (the “Interested Certificateholders”) and their corresponding filings are (i) a Joint Answer filed on behalf of three separate investors groups, specifically (a) AEGON, *et al.*, (b) American International Group, Inc., *et al.*, and (c) Triaxx Prime CDO 2006-1, Ltd., *et al.* (collectively, Dkt. No. 34-39); (ii) submissions by The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Dkts. 40-42); (iii) submissions by Center Court, LLC (Dkts. 46-49); (iv) submissions by Blue Mountain Credit Alternatives Master Fund L.P., *et al.* (Dkt. Nos. 50-51); (v) a submission by TIG Securitized Asset Master Fund LP (“TIG”) (Dkt. 30); and (vi) joint submissions by Prosirris Capital Management LP (“Prosirris”) and Tilden Park Capital Management LP (“Tilden”) (Dkts. 31-33). The Interested Certificateholders are the only parties that filed briefs in response to the Trustee’s Verified Petition and this Court’s Order to Show Cause, and therefore they constitute the universe of relevant “Interested Persons” for purposes of this Article 77 proceeding.

is now clear there is *no* triable issue of fact raised by the Undersigned or any of the other Interested Certificateholders with respect to 515 of the 530 RMBS trusts that are the subject of this Article 77 proceeding. With respect to those 515 Trusts (the “Undisputed Trusts”), the Trustee should distribute the Settlement Payment immediately using the “pay first, write up second” order of operations mandated by the Settlement Agreement and Final Judgment,² without giving effect to any alleged “illusory” overcollateralization in between making distributions and writing up the certificate balances that would permit the improper “leakage” of the Settlement Payment to those certificates that are not the most senior.

The only dispute that has been identified among the Interested Certificateholders is with respect to an issue that was not raised in the Trustee’s Verified Petition and that concerns 15 Trusts identified in the joint submissions by Prosirir and Tilden (Dkt. Nos. 31, 32), and in the submission of TIG (Dkt. 30).³ With respect to those 15 Trusts (the “Disputed Trusts”), various parties may disagree as to the order of operations, whether distribution of the Settlement Payment to more junior holders is appropriate, and/or the proper treatment of the Settlement Payment in the relevant pooling and servicing agreements (“PSAs”). Accordingly, the Undersigned respectfully request that the Court set a schedule in which the Interested Certificateholders can first identify and then brief (and potentially narrow) any disagreements with respect to the distribution of the Settlement Payment to these 15 Disputed Trusts, *but* that the Trustee be instructed, with respect to the remaining 515 Undisputed Trusts, to distribute the Settlement Payment immediately as described below.

² In other words, by distributing the Allocable Share of the Settlement Payment prior to writing up the Certificate Principal Balance in any Trust. Settlement Agreement § 3(d)(i), (ii).

³ Those 15 Trusts are the following: CWABS 2006-12, CWALT 2005-61, CWALT 2005-69, CWALT 2005-72, CWALT 2005-76, CWALT 2005-IMI, CWALT 2006-OA3, CWALT 2006-OA7, CWALT 2006-OAC8, CWALT 2006-OA10, CWALT 2006-OA14, CWALT 2007-OA3, CWALT 2007-OA8, CWMBBS 2006-3, CWMBBS 2006-OA5.

I. THERE IS NO TRIABLE ISSUE CONCERNING THE 355 NON-OC TRUSTS.

Among the 515 Undisputed Trusts, there are two subsets of trusts. The first set includes the 355 Trusts that do not contain an overcollateralization feature (the “non-OC Trusts”) and are set forth in Exhibit A hereto. None of the March 4 submissions identifies any triable issue as to these 355 non-OC Trusts, and therefore nothing prevents the Court from entering a Final Judgment instructing the Trustee immediately to distribute the Settlement Payment as to those 355 non-OC Trusts. Following discussions among the Undersigned, no Interested Certificateholder challenges the “pay first, write-up second” order of operations required under the Settlement Agreement and this Court’s Final Judgment, and no Interested Certificateholder has argued that doing so will produce “leakage” to more junior holders with respect to the non-OC Trusts.

Having now reviewed and considered the largely complementary approaches articulated in the parties’ briefs, the Undersigned agree that the Court should: (1) sever the claims as to all Undisputed Trusts into a separate special proceeding pursuant to CPLR § 407⁴; and (2) issue a Final Judgment instructing the Trustee to distribute the Allocable Shares to the non-OC Trusts without further delay by following the “pay first, write-up second” approach in the Settlement Agreement.

⁴ “The court may at any time order a severance of a particular claim, counterclaim or cross-claim, or as to a particular party, and order that, as to such claim or party, the special proceeding continue as an action or as a separate special proceeding.” CPLR § 407; *see also id.* at Practice Commentaries (“CPLR 407 gives the court in a special proceeding essentially the same severance powers possessed by the court in an action. *See* CPLR 603. *See also* CPLR 103(c). Such severances may be appropriate, in the court’s discretion, to avoid delay, confusion or prejudice. This is especially important in a special proceeding, which is intended to be summary in nature.”).

II. THERE IS NO TRIABLE ISSUE CONCERNING 160 OF THE 175 OC TRUSTS, AND THE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT SHOULD BE DISTRIBUTED IMMEDIATELY TO THESE TRUSTS.

The second set of Undisputed Trusts comprises 160 of the 175 Trusts that contain an overcollateralization feature (the “Undisputed OC Trusts”) and are set forth in Exhibit B hereto. Accordingly, the Trustee should be instructed to pay immediately the Settlement Payment to the 160 Undisputed OC Trusts using the “pay first, write up second” order of operations required by Section 3(d) of the Settlement Agreement and this Court’s Final Judgment, without giving effect to any alleged “temporary” or “illusory” overcollateralization that might arise between payment and writing up the certificate balances. This approach conforms to the PSAs and avoids “leakage” of funds to junior certificateholders.

Since there is no triable issue concerning how the Settlement Payment should be distributed in the Undisputed OC Trusts, the Trustee should be instructed to distribute the Settlement Payment to all Undisputed OC Trusts immediately, as described in the prior paragraph.

III. THE REMAINING OBJECTIONS, WHICH CONCERN ONLY 15 TRUSTS, POSE NO IMPEDIMENT TO ENTERING A FINAL JUDGMENT NOW AS TO THE UNDISPUTED TRUSTS.

The 15 Disputed Trusts employ an overcollateralization target structure. According to the parties’ submissions, the issues in this proceeding with respect to these Trusts do *not* concern alleged “temporary or illusory” overcollateralization arising between payment and write-up, as set out in Paragraphs 25-26 of the Trustee’s Petition. Instead, the disagreement among holders of the Disputed Trusts generally concerns the separate question of whether it is appropriate to permit portions of the Settlement Payment to flow to the more junior tranches to compensate certificateholders for unpaid realized losses instead of paying down the principal balances of more senior certificates, regardless of the level of overcollateralization in those Trusts.

Since the Disputed Trusts' certificateholders concede the issues they raise are different from the issues raised in the Trustee's Petition, *see, e.g.,* Prosirir/Tilden Memo of Law, Dkt. 32, at 13 ("these 14 Trusts contain unique wording"), these certificateholders have not asserted any objection to an instruction to the Trustee to distribute the Settlement Payment immediately to the Undisputed Trusts. For the same reason, these issues raise no triable issue on the Undisputed Trusts and so pose no impediment to an immediate distribution to the Undisputed Trusts.

With respect to the 15 Disputed Trusts, the Undersigned believe that the issues are complex and require further briefing. Accordingly, the Undersigned respectfully request that the Court set a briefing schedule that provides the Interested Certificateholders with the opportunity to identify and then brief any remaining disagreements they may have as to the proper interpretation of the Settlement Agreement and/or the Governing Agreements, as they apply to the Disputed Trusts. The Interested Certificateholders could also brief any remaining disputes as to the date that should be used in calculating how the Settlement Payment will be allocated to particular tranches within the Disputed Trusts, which is another issue that was not raised in the Verified Petition, but was raised in Prosirir/Tilden's response to the Petition. The Undersigned believe that any disagreement as to the 15 Disputed Trusts can be most efficiently resolved (and potentially limited further) in separate, targeted briefing addressing these issues.

The narrow issues as to the Disputed Trusts, however, should not be the cause of any further delay in distributing the Settlement Payment to the Undisputed Trusts. First, the Allocable Shares have already been allocated among the 530 Trusts, so an immediate distribution to the Undisputed Trusts will not alter the allocation or deplete the funds available to pay the Disputed Trusts their shares of the Settlement Payment. Second, since the issues pertaining to the Undisputed Trusts are distinct from the issues pertaining to the Disputed Trusts, entry of a

Final Judgment on the Undisputed Trusts will not preclude any party's arguments as to the Disputed Trusts.

CONCLUSION

Under CPLR § 409, the evidence submitted to the Court discloses no triable issue concerning whether the Trustee must follow the order of operations in Section 3(d) of the Settlement Agreement when it distributes the Settlement Payment to the Undisputed Trusts. There are also no other triable issues concerning how the Settlement Payment should be distributed in the 515 Undisputed Trusts.

Accordingly, the Undersigned respectfully request that the Court sever the proceedings as they relate to the Undisputed Trusts, pursuant to C.P.L.R. §407 and, at the hearing on March 15, 2016, enter a separate Final Judgment as described below:

1. Pursuant to CPLR § 407, the proceedings relating to the Undisputed Trusts, which are listed on Exhibits A and B, are severed into a separate special proceeding, for which a separate final judgment can and will be entered.

2. As to all Undisputed Trusts, the Trustee should distribute each Trust's Settlement Payment not later than the applicable April 2016 distribution date for each Trust, by following the "pay first, write-up second" order of operations in the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Final Judgment. For those Undisputed Trusts that employ an overcollateralization structure, the Trustee should distribute the Settlement Payment by determining overcollateralization so that the overcollateralization amount is calculated under the relevant Pooling and Servicing Agreement (or other Governing Agreement) before or after the distribution, as applicable, but not during the distribution in between payment and writing up the certificate balances, with no leakage to more junior certificates based upon this calculation.

The Undersigned respectfully request that the above Final Judgment be entered as a separate judgment, so that it becomes final and permits the distribution to be made immediately to these Trusts.

Dated: New York, New York
March 14, 2016

WARNER PARTNERS, P.C.

By: /s/ Kenneth E. Warner
Kenneth E. Warner
950 Third Avenue, 32nd Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 593-8000

GIBBS & BRUNS LLP
Kathy D. Patrick (*pro hac vice*)
Robert J. Madden (*pro hac vice*)
David Sheeren (*pro hac vice*)
1100 Louisiana, Suite 5300
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 650-8805

Attorneys for Respondents AEGON (including Transamerica Life Insurance Company, AEGON Financial Assurance Ireland Limited, Transamerica Life International (Bermuda) Ltd., Monumental Life Insurance Company, Transamerica Advisors Life Insurance Company, AEGON Global Institutional Markets, pic, LIICA Re II, Inc., Pine Falls Re, Inc., Transamerica Financial Life Insurance Company, Stonebridge Life Insurance Company, and Western Reserve Life Assurance Co. of Ohio); BlackRock Financial Management, Inc.; Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta; Federal National Mortgage Association; Goldman Sachs Asset Management L.P.; Invesco Advisers, Inc.; Kore Advisors, L.P.; Metropolitan Life Insurance Company; Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company and its affiliate companies; Neuberger Berman Europe Limited; Pacific Investment Management Company LLC; Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America; Thrivent Financial for Lutherans;

Trust Company of the West and the affiliated companies controlled by The TCW Group, Inc.; Voya Investment Management LLC; and Western Asset Management Company

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP

By: /s/ Michael B. Carlinsky
Michael B. Carlinsky
Jordan A. Goldstein
David D. Burnett
Joshua Margolin
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 849-7000

Attorneys for Respondents American International Group, Inc., AIG Financial Products Corp.; AIG Property Casualty Company; American General Life Insurance Company; American Home Assurance Company; American International Reinsurance Company, Ltd.; Commerce and Industry Insurance Company; Lexington Insurance Company; National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA; The United States Life Insurance Company in the City of New York; and The Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company

MILLER & WRUBEL P.C.

By: /s/ John G. Moon
John G. Moon
Sarah L. Ciopyk
570 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 336-3500

Attorneys for Respondents Triaxx Prime CDO 2006-1, Ltd., Triaxx Prime CDO 2006-2, Ltd., and Triaxx Prime CDO 2007-1, Ltd.

WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP

By: /s/ Steven S. Fitzgerald
David H. Wollmuth
Steven S. Fitzgerald
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10110
Tel: (212) 382-3300

Attorneys for Blue Mountain Credit Alternatives Master Fund L.P., BlueMountain Guadalupe Peak Fund L.P., BlueMountain Monteners Master Fund SCA SICAV-SIF, BlueMountain Kicking Horse Fund L.P., BlueMountain Logan Opportunities Master Fund L.P., BlueMountain Foinaven Master Fund L.P., and BlueMountain Credit Opportunities Master Fund I L.P.

MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.

By: /s/ Gayle R. Klein
Gayle R. Klein
Robert W. Scheef
Matthew P. Rand
Melody McGowin
One Bryant Park, 47th Floor
New York, New York 10036
gklein@mckoolsmith.com
rscheef@mckoolsmith.com
mrand@mckoolsmith.com
mmcgowin@mckoolsmith.com
(t) (212) 402-9400
(f) (212) 402-9444

Attorneys for Center Court, LLC

Exhibit A:
355 Non-OC Undisputed Trusts

CWALT 2004-10CB	CWALT 2005-17	CWALT 2005-54CB	CWALT 2005-J8	CWALT 2006-9T1
CWALT 2004-12CB	CWALT 2005-18CB	CWALT 2005-55CB	CWALT 2005-J9	CWALT 2006-HY10
CWALT 2004-13CB	CWALT 2005-19CB	CWALT 2005-56	CWALT 2006-11CB	CWALT 2006-HY12
CWALT 2004-14T2	CWALT 2005-1CB	CWALT 2005-57CB	CWALT 2006-12CB	CWALT 2006-HY13
CWALT 2004-15	CWALT 2005-2	CWALT 2005-58	CWALT 2006-13T1	CWALT 2006-HY3
CWALT 2004-16CB	CWALT 2005-20CB	CWALT 2005-59	CWALT 2006-14CB	CWALT 2006-J1
CWALT 2004-17CB	CWALT 2005-21CB	CWALT 2005-60T1	CWALT 2006-15CB	CWALT 2006-J2
CWALT 2004-18CB	CWALT 2005-22T1	CWALT 2005-62	CWALT 2006-16CB	CWALT 2006-J3
CWALT 2004-20T1	CWALT 2005-23CB	CWALT 2005-63	CWALT 2006-17T1	CWALT 2006-J4
CWALT 2004-22CB	CWALT 2005-24	CWALT 2005-64CB	CWALT 2006-18CB	CWALT 2006-J5
CWALT 2004-24CB	CWALT 2005-25T1	CWALT 2005-65CB	CWALT 2006-19CB	CWALT 2006-J6
CWALT 2004-25CB	CWALT 2005-26CB	CWALT 2005-66	CWALT 2006-20CB	CWALT 2006-J7
CWALT 2004-26T1	CWALT 2005-27	CWALT 2005-67CB	CWALT 2006-21CB	CWALT 2006-J8
CWALT 2004-27CB	CWALT 2005-28CB	CWALT 2005-6CB	CWALT 2006-23CB	CWALT 2006-OA1
CWALT 2004-28CB	CWALT 2005-29CB	CWALT 2005-70CB	CWALT 2006-24CB	CWALT 2006-OA12
CWALT 2004-29CB	CWALT 2005-30CB	CWALT 2005-71	CWALT 2006-25CB	CWALT 2006-OA17
CWALT 2004-2CB	CWALT 2005-31	CWALT 2005-73CB	CWALT 2006-26CB	CWALT 2006-OA19
CWALT 2004-30CB	CWALT 2005-32T1	CWALT 2005-74T1	CWALT 2006-27CB	CWALT 2006-OA2
CWALT 2004-32CB	CWALT 2005-33CB	CWALT 2005-75CB	CWALT 2006-28CB	CWALT 2006-OA21
CWALT 2004-33	CWALT 2005-34CB	CWALT 2005-77T1	CWALT 2006-29T1	CWALT 2006-OA9
CWALT 2004-34T1	CWALT 2005-35CB	CWALT 2005-79CB	CWALT 2006-2CB	CWALT 2007-10CB
CWALT 2004-35T2	CWALT 2005-36	CWALT 2005-7CB	CWALT 2006-30T1	CWALT 2007-11T1
CWALT 2004-36CB	CWALT 2005-37T1	CWALT 2005-80CB	CWALT 2006-31CB	CWALT 2007-12T1
CWALT 2004-3T1	CWALT 2005-38	CWALT 2005-81	CWALT 2006-32CB	CWALT 2007-13
CWALT 2004-4CB	CWALT 2005-3CB	CWALT 2005-82	CWALT 2006-33CB	CWALT 2007-14T2
CWALT 2004-5CB	CWALT 2005-4	CWALT 2005-83CB	CWALT 2006-34	CWALT 2007-15CB
CWALT 2004-7T1	CWALT 2005-40CB	CWALT 2005-84	CWALT 2006-35CB	CWALT 2007-16CB
CWALT 2004-9T1	CWALT 2005-41	CWALT 2005-85CB	CWALT 2006-36T2	CWALT 2007-17CB
CWALT 2004-J10	CWALT 2005-42CB	CWALT 2005-86CB	CWALT 2006-39CB	CWALT 2007-18CB
CWALT 2004-J11	CWALT 2005-43	CWALT 2005-9CB	CWALT 2006-40T1	CWALT 2007-19
CWALT 2004-J12	CWALT 2005-44	CWALT 2005-J1	CWALT 2006-41CB	CWALT 2007-1T1
CWALT 2004-J2	CWALT 2005-45	CWALT 2005-J10	CWALT 2006-42	CWALT 2007-20
CWALT 2004-J3	CWALT 2005-46CB	CWALT 2005-J11	CWALT 2006-43CB	CWALT 2007-21CB
CWALT 2004-J6	CWALT 2005-47CB	CWALT 2005-J13	CWALT 2006-45T1	CWALT 2007-22
CWALT 2004-J8	CWALT 2005-48T1	CWALT 2005-J14	CWALT 2006-46	CWALT 2007-23CB
CWALT 2005-10CB	CWALT 2005-49CB	CWALT 2005-J2	CWALT 2006-4CB	CWALT 2007-24
CWALT 2005-11CB	CWALT 2005-50CB	CWALT 2005-J3	CWALT 2006-5T2	CWALT 2007-25
CWALT 2005-13CB	CWALT 2005-51	CWALT 2005-J5	CWALT 2006-6CB	CWALT 2007-2CB
CWALT 2005-14	CWALT 2005-52CB	CWALT 2005-J6	CWALT 2006-7CB	CWALT 2007-3T1
CWALT 2005-16	CWALT 2005-53T2	CWALT 2005-J7	CWALT 2006-8T1	CWALT 2007-4CB
CWALT 2007-5CB	CWHL 2004-HYB5	CWHL 2005-9	CWHL 2006-TM1	

Exhibit A:
355 Non-OC Undisputed Trusts

CWALT 2007-6	CWHL 2004-HYB6	CWHL 2005-HY10	CWHL 2007-1
CWALT 2007-7T2	CWHL 2004-HYB7	CWHL 2005-HYB1	CWHL 2007-10
CWALT 2007-8CB	CWHL 2004-HYB8	CWHL 2005-HYB2	CWHL 2007-11
CWALT 2007-9T1	CWHL 2004-HYB9	CWHL 2005-HYB3	CWHL 2007-12
CWALT 2007-AL1	CWHL 2004-J2	CWHL 2005-HYB4	CWHL 2007-13
CWALT 2007-HY2	CWHL 2004-J3	CWHL 2005-HYB5	CWHL 2007-14
CWALT 2007-HY4	CWHL 2004-J4	CWHL 2005-HYB6	CWHL 2007-15
CWALT 2007-J2	CWHL 2004-J5	CWHL 2005-HYB7	CWHL 2007-16
CWALT 2007-OA11	CWHL 2004-J6	CWHL 2005-HYB8	CWHL 2007-17
CWALT 2007-OA9	CWHL 2004-J7	CWHL 2005-HYB9	CWHL 2007-18
CWALT 2007-OH1	CWHL 2004-J8	CWHL 2005-J1	CWHL 2007-19
CWHL 2004-10	CWHL 2004-J9	CWHL 2005-J2	CWHL 2007-2
CWHL 2004-11	CWHL 2005-1	CWHL 2005-J3	CWHL 2007-20
CWHL 2004-12	CWHL 2005-10	CWHL 2005-J4	CWHL 2007-21
CWHL 2004-13	CWHL 2005-11	CWHL 2006-1	CWHL 2007-3
CWHL 2004-14	CWHL 2005-12	CWHL 2006-10	CWHL 2007-4
CWHL 2004-15	CWHL 2005-13	CWHL 2006-11	CWHL 2007-5
CWHL 2004-16	CWHL 2005-14	CWHL 2006-12	CWHL 2007-6
CWHL 2004-18	CWHL 2005-15	CWHL 2006-13	CWHL 2007-7
CWHL 2004-19	CWHL 2005-16	CWHL 2006-14	CWHL 2007-8
CWHL 2004-2	CWHL 2005-17	CWHL 2006-15	CWHL 2007-9
CWHL 2004-20	CWHL 2005-18	CWHL 2006-16	CWHL 2007-HY1
CWHL 2004-21	CWHL 2005-2	CWHL 2006-17	CWHL 2007-HY3
CWHL 2004-22	CWHL 2005-20	CWHL 2006-18	CWHL 2007-HY4
CWHL 2004-23	CWHL 2005-21	CWHL 2006-19	CWHL 2007-HY5
CWHL 2004-24	CWHL 2005-22	CWHL 2006-20	CWHL 2007-HY6
CWHL 2004-25	CWHL 2005-23	CWHL 2006-21	CWHL 2007-HY7
CWHL 2004-29	CWHL 2005-24	CWHL 2006-6	CWHL 2007-HYB1
CWHL 2004-3	CWHL 2005-25	CWHL 2006-8	CWHL 2007-HYB2
CWHL 2004-4	CWHL 2005-26	CWHL 2006-9	CWHL 2007-J1
CWHL 2004-5	CWHL 2005-27	CWHL 2006-HYB1	CWHL 2007-J2
CWHL 2004-6	CWHL 2005-28	CWHL 2006-HYB2	CWHL 2007-J3
CWHL 2004-7	CWHL 2005-29	CWHL 2006-HYB3	CWHL 2008-1
CWHL 2004-8	CWHL 2005-3	CWHL 2006-HYB4	CWL 2004-S1
CWHL 2004-9	CWHL 2005-30	CWHL 2006-HYB5	
CWHL 2004-HYB1	CWHL 2005-31	CWHL 2006-J1	
CWHL 2004-HYB2	CWHL 2005-5	CWHL 2006-J2	
CWHL 2004-HYB3	CWHL 2005-6	CWHL 2006-J3	
CWHL 2004-HYB4	CWHL 2005-7	CWHL 2006-J4	

Exhibit B:
160 OC Undisputed Trusts

CWALT 2004-6CB	CWHEL 2006-A	CWL 2005-4	CWL 2006-3
CWALT 2004-8CB	CWHEL 2007-G	CWL 2005-5	CWL 2006-4
CWALT 2004-J13	CWHL 2006-OA4	CWL 2005-6	CWL 2006-5
CWALT 2004-J4	CWL 2004-1	CWL 2005-7	CWL 2006-6
CWALT 2004-J5	CWL 2004-10	CWL 2005-8	CWL 2006-7
CWALT 2004-J7	CWL 2004-11	CWL 2005-9	CWL 2006-8
CWALT 2004-J9	CWL 2004-12	CWL 2005-AB1	CWL 2006-9
CWALT 2005-AR1	CWL 2004-13	CWL 2005-AB2	CWL 2006-ABC1
CWALT 2005-J12	CWL 2004-14	CWL 2005-AB3	CWL 2006-BC1
CWALT 2005-J4	CWL 2004-15	CWL 2005-AB4	CWL 2006-BC2
CWALT 2006-HY11	CWL 2004-2	CWL 2005-AB5	CWL 2006-BC3
CWALT 2006-OA11	CWL 2004-3	CWL 2005-BC1	CWL 2006-BC4
CWALT 2006-OA16	CWL 2004-4	CWL 2005-BC2	CWL 2006-BC5
CWALT 2006-OA18	CWL 2004-5	CWL 2005-BC3	CWL 2006-IM1
CWALT 2006-OA22	CWL 2004-6	CWL 2005-BC4	CWL 2006-QH1
CWALT 2006-OA6	CWL 2004-7	CWL 2005-BC5	CWL 2006-SD1
CWALT 2006-OC1	CWL 2004-8	CWL 2005-IM1	CWL 2006-SD2
CWALT 2006-OC10	CWL 2004-9	CWL 2005-IM2	CWL 2006-SD3
CWALT 2006-OC11	CWL 2004-AB1	CWL 2005-IM3	CWL 2006-SD4
CWALT 2006-OC2	CWL 2004-AB2	CWL 2005-SD1	CWL 2006-SPS1
CWALT 2006-OC3	CWL 2004-BC2	CWL 2005-SD2	CWL 2006-SPS2
CWALT 2006-OC4	CWL 2004-BC3	CWL 2005-SD3	CWL 2007-1
CWALT 2006-OC5	CWL 2004-BC4	CWL 2006-1	CWL 2007-10
CWALT 2006-OC6	CWL 2004-BC5	CWL 2006-10	CWL 2007-11
CWALT 2006-OC7	CWL 2004-ECC1	CWL 2006-11	CWL 2007-12
CWALT 2006-OC8	CWL 2004-ECC2	CWL 2006-13	CWL 2007-13
CWALT 2006-OC9	CWL 2004-SD2	CWL 2006-14	CWL 2007-2
CWALT 2007-HY3	CWL 2004-SD3	CWL 2006-15	CWL 2007-3
CWALT 2007-HY6	CWL 2004-SD4	CWL 2006-16	CWL 2007-4
CWALT 2007-HY7C	CWL 2005-1	CWL 2006-17	CWL 2007-5
CWALT 2007-HY8C	CWL 2005-10	CWL 2006-18	CWL 2007-6
CWALT 2007-HY9	CWL 2005-11	CWL 2006-19	CWL 2007-7
CWALT 2007-J1	CWL 2005-12	CWL 2006-2	CWL 2007-8
CWALT 2007-OA10	CWL 2005-13	CWL 2006-20	CWL 2007-9
CWALT 2007-OA2	CWL 2005-14	CWL 2006-21	CWL 2007-BC1
CWALT 2007-OA4	CWL 2005-15	CWL 2006-22	CWL 2007-BC2
CWALT 2007-OA6	CWL 2005-16	CWL 2006-23	CWL 2007-BC3
CWALT 2007-OA7	CWL 2005-17	CWL 2006-24	CWL 2007-SD1
CWALT 2007-OH2	CWL 2005-2	CWL 2006-25	CWL 2007-SEA1
CWALT 2007-OH3	CWL 2005-3	CWL 2006-26	CWL 2007-SEA2